Cite this: RSC Advances, 2012, 2, 7262–7267

Direct production of glucose from glycogen under microwave irradiation[†]

Miri Klein,^a Indra Neel Pulidindi,^a Nina Perkas,^a Ella Meltzer-Mats,^b Arie Gruzman^b and Aharon Gedanken^{*a}

Received 29th May 2012, Accepted 31st May 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ra21066e

The production of fermentable sugars from renewable sources is a challenge. An attempt was made to exploit glycogen as a potential feedstock for the production of glucose. The microwave-assisted acidic hydrolysis was applied for glycogen decomposition for the first time. The optimal conditions for the hydrolysis reaction (yield of glucose - 62 wt.%) were identified: microwave irradiation time - 10 min and concentration of acid - 1 M HCl. Microwave irradiation has dramatically reduced the reaction time from more than 6 h (at 80 °C under an oil bath) to 10 min. ¹³C NMR spectroscopy was employed to monitor the progress of the hydrolysis reaction. HPLC analysis was employed to evaluate the yield of glucose. Thus, the viability of the use of glycogen as an economically and environmentally benign precursor to the production of glucose has been demonstrated.

1. Introduction

The twin problems of energy security and climate change have caused a paradigm shift from the use of non-renewable energy sources (fossil fuels) to renewable energy sources (biofuels).^{1,2} Currently, 97% of the energy consumed in transport, industry and governmental organizations is supplied by petroleum. As the world petroleum reserves are being depleted, alternate non petroleum-based energy sources are being investigated vigorously.³ Biofuels form one of the promising alternative energy sources that contribute positively to the reduction of CO₂ emissions (clean environment), reduce the dependence on petroleum-based fuels (foreign exchange savings), generate new employment opportunities, and aid in the development of rural communities (socioeconomic issues).^{4–5} The term biofuels refers to the liquid or gaseous fuels produced from biological (organic) sources (biomass, including energy crops, crop residues, glycogen) and employed for transport applications.^{6–8} Biofuels are an economically viable and cost effective alternative to the existing fossil fuels.^{6,9}

Among the biofuels, bioethanol is a trusted alternative to fossil fuels. Bioethanol is currently produced worldwide on a large scale (14-26 million tons). In the next two decades bioethanol is expected to be the most extensively used and predominant fuel for transportation.¹⁰ Brazil has currently been the most successful nation in producing bioethanol from either sugar cane juice or molasses. In 2010, Brazil produced 27 billion liters of bioethanol with the domestic market increasing steadily.¹¹ Brazil and United States alone have produced about 70% of the world's bioethanol. Several other countries with an agronomicbased economy can adopt the currently-used fermentation technology for bioethanol production.^{4,12}

Biomass is currently being advocated and investigated intensively as a feedstock for the production of bioethanol.3,6,13-17 Conventionally, bioethanol is produced by the biological fermentation of carbohydrate polymers such as starch and cellulose generated from plant materials.^{16,18-24} In sharp contrast to the fast depleting petroleum-based fuels, bioethanol is both a renewable and environmentally friendly (carbon neutral) fuel.²⁵⁻³⁰ In addition, owing to the high octane number $(108.6)^{31}$ and heat of vaporization (38.6 kJ mol⁻¹), ethanol is an alternative fuel. It can be used as neat alcohol in specific engines or can be blended with gasoline.⁴ The typical challenges in the economically feasible production of bioethanol include: (1) identifying appropriate feedstock; (2) developing suitable pretreatment methods; and (3) developing fast and efficient methods of hydrolysis for the release of glucose from carbohydrate polymers (starch, cellulose and glycogen) and the subsequent fermentation of the sugars.^{7,10,12,14,18,25,30,32–37}

Just as sugars are stored in plants as cellulose and starch, glucose is stored in animal cells as glycogen. Glycogen, a biopolymer, is a rich and abundant source of glucose [Scheme 1].

Animal remains serve as the natural source of glycogen. Energy in animals is accumulated in the liver and muscles as glycogen.³⁸ Glycogen is an appropriate, more viable and advantageous feedstock for glucose production rather than lignocellulosic biomass, as it needs no additional pretreatment. The synthesis of glycogen from CO₂ by photosynthesis, and subsequently converting it to glucose, has recently become a challenge that will make glycogen an abundant and renewable feedstock for glucose.5

To the best of our knowledge, the information on the conversion of glycogen to biofuel has not been reported in the literature. Thus, the focus of the current investigation is to

^aKanbar Laboratory for Nanomaterials, Center for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900 Israel. E-mail: gedanken@mail.biu.ac.il; Fax: 972-7384053.

^bDivision of Medicinal Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900 Israel

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ra21066e



Scheme 1 Chemical structure of glycogen, a rich and abundant source of glucose.

develop an efficient method for the production of glucose from glycogen. This work is the first attempt on the hydrolysis of glycogen using microwave irradiation. The process was done in a regular microwave oven equipped with a reflux column. The products were analyzed by ¹³C NMR and HPLC.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Glycogen from bovine liver, α -D-anhydrous glucose 96%, and sodium hydroxide 98%, were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Co., (St. Louis, MO, USA). The water used was double distilled. Hydrochloric acid (about 32%) was purchased from Frutarom Ltd., Haifa, Israel. Deionized water and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were purchased from Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

2.2 Synthesis and characterization

A typical glycogen hydrolysis process comprises of subjecting 0.4 g of glycogen in a 20 mL HCl solution to microwave irradiation. The hydrolysis process was carried out in a domestic microwave modified so as to have provision for a distillation column passing through the microwave (MW) oven (for enhanced operation safety). The MW system also contained a stirring facility that operated during the reaction.^{39–41} The microwave oven operated at 2.45 GHz in a batch mode under air at atmospheric pressure. The output of the applied microwave reactor was 1100 W. Reaction parameters such as the acid concentration and microwave irradiation time were varied to optimize the hydrolysis process. For comparison, the glycogen hydrolysis reaction was also carried out under conventional reflux conditions under an oil bath at 80 °C. To evaluate the effect of temperature as well as microwave heating on the glycogen hydrolysis reaction, the reaction was also carried out in a commercial microwave oven (MARS5, CEM Corporation, Matthews, USA) where there is a provision for temperature control. After the hydrolysis process, the reaction products were filtered through a filter paper.

¹³C NMR analysis was performed on a Bruker Avance DPX 300 instrument using D_2O as a solvent. For the HPLC analysis the reaction products of glycogen hydrolysis were neutralized with dilute NaOH and lyophilized for 24 h. The solid mass (0.5 g) was diluted with 2 mL of HPLC grade water and analyzed with an HPLC device (Young Lin Clarity 9100 with 9160 PDA UV detector). UV detection was carried out at 195 nm. The flow

rate was set to 1.0 mL min⁻¹ and injections of 100 μ L were made. A Luna Phenomenex 5 μ NH₂ column 100 A column was used (250 mm × 4.6 mm). The ratio of acetonitrile and deionized water used was 80% to 20%.⁴² A guard column was attached to the inlet of the column to prevent clogging. The content of the glucose in the hydrolyzate was calculated according to the weight of the solid mass obtained after the hydrolysis reaction and lyophilization.

In order to have an idea of the exact amount of solid mass obtained from the hydrolyzate through lyophilization, the residual amount of water was deduced by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). TGA analysis was carried out using a Mettler TGA/STDA 851 device. In the TGA experiment, the sample powders were heated from 25 to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under Ar atmosphere.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Identification of products by ¹³C NMR

The ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of the reactant (authentic sample of glycogen) and the reaction product (hydrolyzate of glycogen in 1 M HCl) are depicted in Fig. 1A.



Fig. 1 ¹³C NMR spectra: A – authentic sample of glycogen (a) and hydrolyzate from glycogen (b) in 1 M HCl upon microwave irradiation; B – authentic samples of glucose (a), levulinic acid (b) and formic acid (c).

It can be seen that upon microwave irradiation for 10 min in the presence of 1M of HCl, the peaks typical of glycogen (60.6, 69.4, 71.2, 71.6, 71.8, 73.4, 76.8, 99.6 ppm) disappeared completely. Conversely, peaks characteristic of glucose (60.3 (C6), 69.2 (C4), 72.4 (C2), 73.7 (C3), 75.3 (C5) and 95.3 (C1)) are observed, indicating the complete conversion of glycogen. No other sugars, such as xylose, were found in the products.⁴³⁻⁴⁴ Glucose can be fermented readily by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to ethanol relative to other sugars. Apart from glucose, under specific reaction conditions, levulinic and formic acid were also formed. These are the decomposition products of glucose.

Peaks at 27.9 and 37.7 ppm were also observed, typical of methylene adjacent to the carboxylic group and methylene adjacent to the carbonyl group of levulinic acid (CH₃COCH₂CH₂COOH) (Fig. 1A (b)). The peak at 29.1 ppm corresponds to the methyl group and peak at 177.4 ppm corresponds to the carboxyl group (clearly visible in Fig. SI[†]). The appearance of levulinic acid is associated with the formation of hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF) (from glucose) and its subsequent decomposition to levulinic acid and formic acid. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of HMF is shown in Fig. SII.[†] No signals typical of HMF were seen in the hydrolyzate (Fig. 1A (b)) implying that the decomposition of HMF to levulinic and formic acid is complete and fast under microwave irradiation. To confirm the presence of glucose and levulinic acid in the hydrolyzate, the ¹³C NMR spectra of the authentic samples of glucose, levulinic acid (Fig. SIII⁺) and formic acid were recorded and shown in Fig. 1B. The spectral features of the hydrolysis products of glycogen (Fig. 1A) match well with the authentic samples of glucose and levulinic acid (Fig. 1B), confirming their formation during microwave irradiation. The schematic representation of the conversion of glycogen to glucose and levulinic acid and formic acid is depicted in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2 Schematic representation of hydrolysis of glycogen.

3.2. Effect of concentration of HCl on the hydrolysis of glycogen

The reaction parameters were optimized to obtain the highest yield of glucose from the glycogen and the products composition was tested. The different concentrations of HCl (no acid, 0.5, 1, 3 and 5 M) were tested, and among them 1 M of HCl was found to be the optimum value with a complete conversion of glycogen upon microwave irradiation for a period of 10 min (Table 1).

With 0.5 M of HCl, the conversion of glycogen was not complete. In the absence of HCl, even under microwave irradiation, the glycogen hydrolysis reaction did not proceed, indicating that the presence of an acid catalyst is inevitable for the conversion of glycogen to glucose. In the presence of HCl with a concentration above 0.5 M, the hydrolysis product of glycogen, namely glucose, underwent further reactivity to form HMF, which subsequently decomposed to levulinic acid and formic acid.^{45–49}

Table 1 Effect of the HCl concentration on the hydrolysis of glycogen

Conc. of HCl/M	Reactant Glycogen	Reaction products		
		Glucose	L.A. ^a	F.A. ^{<i>a</i>}
5	_	+	+	+
3	_	+	+	+
1	_	+	+	+
0.5	+	+	_	_
No acid	+	_	-	_
^a L.A. – levulinic a	cid; F.A formi	ic acid. "+" pr	esent; "–" a	bsent.

3.3. Effect of reaction time on the hydrolysis of glycogen under microwave irradiation

The influence of time on the microwave-assisted hydrolysis of glycogen was tested at different intervals (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 min). The ¹³C NMR spectra of the hydrolyzates obtained from the irradiation of glycogen (0.4 g) with the optimal concentration of HCl (1 M, 20 mL) are depicted in Fig. SIV.[†]

The nature of the glycogen hydrolysis products obtained as a function of time is summarized in Table 2. In the case of 2, 4 and 6 min irradiation, together with the glucose peaks, a peak typical of glycogen (99 ppm) was also observed, indicating that the conversion of glycogen was not complete. Upon irradiation for 10 min, only glucose, but no trace of glycogen, is observed, indicating the complete conversion of glycogen to glucose. In addition to glucose, peaks typical of levulinic acid (27.9 and 37.7 ppm) were also observed, indicating that the decomposition of glucose to levulinic acid and formic acid took place through the formation of HMF.

3.4. Determination of the glucose content by HPLC

The content of glucose in the reaction products was determined by HPLC analysis. A representative HPLC chromatogram recorded on the hydrolyzate obtained from the irradiation of glycogen (0.4 g in 1 M HCl, 20 mL) for 10 min is shown in Fig. 2. The peak with a retention time of 8.9 min is of glucose,⁴² which is known from the chromatograms recorded for preparing a calibration plot with varying amounts of glucose.

The yields (wt.%) of glucose (deduced from HPLC chromatograms) as a function of time of microwave irradiation are shown in Fig. 3. A steady increase in the yield of glucose with irradiation time from 2 (32 wt.%) to 10 (62 wt.%) minutes is observed. The optimum time of microwave irradiation with the highest yield (62%) of glucose is 10 min.

The method of hydrolysis was extrapolated to higher amount of glycogen (2.0 g) as well. Complete conversion of glycogen with

Table 2 Effect of reaction time on the hydrolysis of glycogen under microwave irradiation with $1 \text{ M HC}l^a$

	Reactant Glycogen	Reaction products		
Reaction time/min		Glucose	L.A.	F.A.
10	_	+	+	+
8	_	+	+	+
6	+	+	_	_
4	+	+	_	_
2	+	+	_	_
^a L.A. – levulinic acid	; F.A. – formic a	icid. "+" preser	nt; ''–" abse	ent.



Fig. 2 Typical HPLC chromatogram of the hydrolyzate (from 0.4 g glycogen in 20 mL, 1 M of HCl for 10 min).

a glucose yield of 68 wt.% was observed under identical conditions. The slightly higher yield (68 wt.%) of glucose with the higher amount of glycogen (2.0 g) relative to the smaller amount used before (0.4 g), is due to the increased number of molecular collisions leading to the reduction in the activation energy barrier and thereby resulting in the formation of increased amount of the product, glucose.

Thus, the results obtained on glucose production upon the hydrolysis of glycogen under acidic conditions indicated the potential of glycogen as a promising feedstock for the generation of fermentable sugars.

3.5. Comparison of the effect of microwave irradiation vs. heating at 80 $^{\circ}$ C under an oil bath

To elucidate the accelerating effect of microwave irradiation in the glycogen hydrolysis reaction, the reaction was carried out both under microwave irradiation and in conventional heating with a reflux system in an oil bath at 80 °C with 1 M of HCl. A pictorial representation of the two processes is depicted in Fig. 4.

The analysis of the ¹³C NMR of the hydrolyzates obtained from microwave irradiation is presented in Fig. SIV† and Table 2. The microwave hydrolysis reaction was completed in a short period, 10 min. In the case of the heating with an oil bath, even after 6 hours, traces of glycogen (peak at 99 ppm) are observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the hydrolysis (Fig. 5, Table SV†). This indicates that conventional heating at 80 °C with an oil bath requires more than 6 h, whereas the same process is completed in 10 min under microwave irradiation.



Fig. 3 Glucose yield (wt.%) as a function of time of irradiation of the hydrolyzate (glycogen (0.4 g) in HCl (1 M, 20 mL)).



Fig. 4 Pictorial representation of the hydrolysis of glycogen carried out under (A) an oil bath at 80 °C and (B) microwave irradiation.

Interestingly, when the reaction is carried out on an oil bath, no degradation products of glucose such as levulinic acid and formic acid were observed. The only reaction product of the hydrolysis of glycogen is glucose, even after 22 h of heating under an oil bath (Fig. 5c and Table SV†). The yield of glucose, as deduced from HPLC analysis, after 22 h of glycogen hydrolysis under reflux conditions, is 39 wt.%.

For comparison, the glycogen hydrolysis reaction was also carried out under identical conditions (0.4 g glycogen, 1 M of HCl, 20 mL, 10 min) in a commercial microwave oven where good control over the temperature can be achieved. The MW hydrolysis reaction was carried out at 80 °C for different time periods, namely, 8, 10 and 12 min. Glucose was the sole product of hydrolysis, unlike the byproducts such as levulinic acid and formic acid formed when the hydrolysis was carried out in a domestic microwave oven (Fig. SVI†). The relative yields of



Fig. 5 13 C NMR spectra of the reaction product of glycogen hydrolysis carried out with 1 M HCl under heating with an oil bath at 80 °C for various time periods (a) 3 h, (b) 6 h and (c) 22 h.



Fig. 6 Glucose yield (wt.%) as a function of time of irradiation of the hydrolyzate in a commercial microwave oven, CEM, at 80 $^{\circ}$ C (glycogen (0.4 g) in HCl (1 M, 20 mL)).

glucose as a function of microwave irradiation (commercial microwave oven, CEM) time, are pictorially represented in Fig. 6.

The yields (wt.%) of glucose were found to be 31, 43 and 54 wt.% when the hydrolysis reaction was carried out for 8, 10 and 12 min, respectively. It should be noted that, in a short duration of 12 min, a 54 wt.% yield of glucose is produced under microwave irradiation (CEM) relative to only 39 wt.% yield of glucose obtained under conventional heating after 22 h.

The higher yield of glucose (62 wt.%) in the case of microwave irradiation in a domestic microwave oven relative to that of a commercial oven, CEM (43 wt.%) may be probably due to the higher temperatures generated in the former case. The commercial MW oven enables a precise control of temperature which is not possible in the domestic microwave oven. Thus, the microwave irradiation, either domestic or commercial, facilitates the acceleration of the glycogen hydrolysis process.

More than 6 h is needed for the full conversion of glycogen with 1 M HCl at 80 °C under regular stirring. The use of microwave irradiation has dramatically reduced the reaction time from more than 6 h to 10 min with 1 M HCl. This difference is due to the superheating achieved under microwave radiation of the reaction mixture, unlike the conventional heating in a oil bath which is reflected in the use of either domestic or commercial MW ovens. Even when the temperature is fixed at 80 °C, hot spots in the liquid can occur, accelerating the reaction, as compared to conventional heating at the same temperature. This MW irradiation is more effective in the degradation of the glycogen and accelerates the hydrolysis reaction.

4. Conclusions

The microwave irradiation of glycogen under acid conditions (1 M HCl) yielded a complete conversion with the highest yield of glucose (62 wt.%) in a time period as short as 10 min. When the hydrolysis reaction was carried out under conventional heating (oil bath), more than 6 h were needed for the complete conversion of glycogen. Thus, the accelerating effect of microwave energy for the production of fermentable sugar (glucose) from glycogen has been demonstrated. Upon acid hydrolysis, glycogen yielded exclusively glucose as the fermentable sugar and

no other C5 or C6 sugars are produced, which are formed inevitably when lignocellulosic biomass is employed as a feedstock for the generation of sugars. As glycogen can be produced from abundant and renewable chemical feedstock like CO_2 , the use of glycogen as a precursor for glucose generation is an economically and environmentally benign alternative to cellulose. As far as the application of the current process is concerned, it is limited to animal sources which provide currently very small amounts of glycogen. However, starving cyanobacteria of nitrogen might soon become a viable source of glycogen, providing a cheap and renewable source of glycogen.

References

- 1 A. Zhou and E. Thomson, Appl. Energy, 2009, 86, S11.
- 2 J. Rupprecht, J. Biotechnol., 2009, 142, 10.
- 3 J. R. Mielenz, Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 2001, 4, 324.
- 4 H. Chen and W. Qiu, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2010, **28**, 556. 5 G. C. Dismukes, D. Carrieri, N. Bennette, G. M. Ananyev and M. C.
- Posewitz, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2008, 19, 235.
- 6 A. Demirbas, Energy Convers. Manage., 2008, 49, 2106.
- 7 H. Fukuda, A. Kondob and S. Tamalampudi, *Biochem. Eng. J.*, 2009, 44, 2.
- 8 Y. Kang Ong and S. Bhatia, Energy, 2010, 35, 111.
- 9 B. Phalan, Appl. Energy, 2009, 86, S21.
- 10 M. A. das Neves, T. Kimura, N. Shimizu and M. Nakajima, Dyn. Biochem., Process Biotechnol. Mol. Biol., 2007, 1, 1.
- 11 H. V. Amorim, M. L. Lopes, J. V. Castro Oliveira, M. S. Buckeridge and G. H. Goldman, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, 91, 1267.
- 12 S. Nitayavardhana, P. Shrestha, M. L. Rasmussen, B. P. Lamsal, J. (Hans) van Leeuwen and S. K. Khanal, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010, 101, 2741.
- 13 P. Alvira, E. Tomás–Pejó, M. Ballesteros and M. J. Negro, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010, 101, 4851.
- 14 N. S. Mosier, R. Hendrickson, M. Brewer, N. Ho, M. Sedlak, R. Dreshel, G. Welch, B. S. Dien, A. Aden and M. R. Ladisch, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **125**, 77.
- 15 M. R. Ladisch, M.C. Flickinger and G. T. Tsao, Energy, 1979, 4, 263.
- 16 B. B. Hallac, P. Sannigrahi, Y. Pu, M. Ray, R. J. Murphy and A. J. Ragauskas, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009. 57, 1275.
- 17 F. Jiang, Q. Zhu, D. Ma, X. Liu and X. Han, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2011, 334, 8.
- 18 A. Demirabaş, Energy Sources, 2005, 27, 327.
- 19 J. Pang, A. Wang, M. Zheng and T. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 6935.
- 20 S. Van de Vyver, L. Peng, J. Geboers, H. Schepers, F. de Clippel, C. J. Gommes, B. Goderis, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 1560.
- 21 V. Varatharajan, R. Hoover, J. Li, T. Vasanthan, K. K. M. Nantanga, K. Seetharaman, Q. Liu, E. Donner, S. Jaiswal and R. N. Chibbar, *Food Res. Int.*, 2011, 44, 2594.
- 22 Q. Jin, H. Zhang, L. Yan, L. Qu and H. Huang, *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2011, 35, 4158.
- 23 A. A. Shatalov and H. Pereira, Carbohydr. Polym., 2012, 87, 210.
- 24 Y. Xue, J. Rusli, H. Chang, R. Philips and H. Jameel, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 2011, 166, 839.
- 25 S. Nikolić, L. Mojović, M. Rakin, D. Pejin and D. Savić, *Chem. Ind. Chem. Eng. Q.*, 2008, **14**, 231.
- 26 A. Parmar, N. K. Singh, A. Pandey, E. Gnansounou and D. Madamwar, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 10163.
- 27 C. Sasaki, R. Takada, T. Watanabe, Y. Honda, S. Karita, Y. Nakamura and T. Watanabe, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 9942.
- 28 Y. Paivi, F. C. Johan and T. J. Mohammad, J. Biotechnol., 2011, 156, 22.
- 29 M. O. S. Dias, M. P. Cunha, C. D. F. Jesus, R. F. Maciel and A. Bonomi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 8964.
- 30 A. K. Chandel, C. ES, R. Rudravaram, M. L. Narasu, L. V. Rao and P. Ravindra, *Biotechnol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2007, 2, 14.
- 31 M. Eyidogan, A. Necati Ozsezen, M. Canakci and A. Turkcan, Fuel, 2010, 89, 2713.
- 32 C. A. Cardona and Ó. J. Sánchez, Bioresour. Technol., 2007, 98, 2415.

- 33 B. Palmarola–Adrados, P. Chotěborska, M. Galbe and G. Zacchi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2005, 96, 843.
- 34 M. Linde, M. Galbe and G. Zacchi, Bioresour. Technol., 2008, 99, 6505.
- 35 Y. Sun and J. Cheng, Bioresour. Technol., 2002, 83, 1.
- 36 D. Yamaguchi and M. Hara, Solid State Sci., 2010, 12, 1018.
- 37 N. S. Mosier, C. M. Ladisch and M. R. Ladisch, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2002, **79**, 610.
- 38 D. L. Nelson, M. M. Cox, 2001. Carbohydrates and glycobiology polysaccharides, in: *Lehninger principles of biochemistry*, 4th Edition, W. H. Freeman, pp. 247–255.
- 39 M. Koberg, R. Abu-Much and A. Gedanken, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 1073.
- 40 Y. Groisman and A. Gedanken, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 8802.
- 41 P. Klan, M. Hajek and V. Cirkva, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2001, 140, 185.

- 42 N. A. Rahman and J. Jahim, Mod. Appl. Sci., 2008, 2, 151.
- 43 A. Madhavan, A. Srivastava, A. Kondo and V. S. Bisaria, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol., 2012, 32, 22.
- 44 K. Okamoto, R. Kanawaku, M. Masumoto and H. Yanase, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2012, 50, 96.
- 45 L. Kupiainen, J. Ahola and J. Tanskanen, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2011, **89**, 2706.
- 46 J. Qi and L. Xiuyang, Chin. J. Chem. Eng., 2008, 16, 890.
- 47 S. V. Vyver, J. Thomas, J. Geboers, S. Keyzer, M. Smet, W. Dehaen, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3601.
- 48 A. S. Amarasekhara and C. C. Ebede, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2009, **100**, 5301.
- 49 C. Chun, M. A. Xiaogian and C. Peilin, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2006, 14, 708.
- 50 S. Aikawa, Y. Izumi, F. Matsuda, T. Hasunuma, J. S. Chang and A. Kondo, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2012, **108**, 211.